

Centre for Energy and Environmental Markets





# Auctioning greenhouse gas emissions permits: How should the auction be designed?

Regina Betz, Stefan Seifert, Peter Cramton, Suzi Kerr

AARES, Canberra 2008

www.ceem.unsw.edu.au





## Overview

- Presentation based on Report for National Emissions Trading Task Force (NETT)
- Auction objectives + Comments
- Key Design Elements
- Auctioning several vintages
- Additional Features
- Timing and Frequency





# Auction Objectives according to NETT

### > Key objective:

- Achieve an efficient allocation of permits
  - = allocate permits to those who value them most highly

### Facilitate efficiency of ETS system:

 Reveal market prices of permits to auction participants and non-participants, particularly at early stages

### Revenue maximization:

Not a primary goal



Centre for Energy and Environmental Markets



3

# **Comments on Key Objectives**

- Most permits are not allocated by auction
  - → Auction *cannot* ensure efficient allocation of permits
- Significant share of permits is allocated to Trade Exposed Energy Intensive Industry sector (which has a private valuation of zero!)
  - Initial allocation is highly inefficient by construction!
  - Well functioning secondary markets are crucial!
- Efficiency of ETS requires not only efficient allocation of permits, but efficient investments regarding abatement measures
  - → Early price signals are crucial (time lag!)



# **Key Design Elements**

## Ascending Clock Auction:

- Auctioneer publishes total available quantity, initial reserve price and further schedule of price offers
- Participants hand in demand bids for the reserve price
- Auctioneer reveals total demand
- As long as total demand > total available quantity auction goes on
- Demand bids cannot increase
- Final price: uniform pricing: p<sub>t</sub>=if total demand = total supply or p<sub>t-1</sub>= if total demand < total supply (normal case)</p>
- > All bidders receive their quantity of last round (normal case)
- The remaining supply is allocated proportional according to residual bids at p<sub>t-1</sub>



UNSW

# Auctioning several vintages

- In some auction events, several vintages of permits will be available
- Different vintages are almost (but not perfect) substitutes
- All vintages are auctioned simultaneously
- For each vintage a separate clock is implemented
- Bidders may shift demand from one clock to another
- At the end of each round, a clock ticks forward if total demand for the respective vintage exceeds supply
- Auction continues as long as at least one clock ticks forward



7

# **Additional Features**

### **Double auction extension**

- Facilitates efficient allocation of permits assigned to TEEI
- Sellers specify supply schedules prior to start

## **Proxy** bids

s1

to reduce transaction costs for small participants

## Alternative implementation: Intra-round bids

- Bidders submit demand schedules for all given prices
- May increase efficiency
- Smoothes closing of auction
- Allows for larger increments

 

 Slide 7

 s1
 Advantages: Upward sloping demand curve reduces vulnerability to strategic demand reduction and collusion Suppliers (TEEI companies) profit from lower transaction costs in the auction compared to the secondary market Price signals are more reliable as both net buyers and net sellers participate in the auction s3165179, 4/02/2008



# **Timing & Frequency**

#### Timing:

- First auction before start of the scheme after first period of monitoring to ensure that necessary information is available
- Last auction of one vintage within reconciliation period to give companies with unforeseeable shortage possibility to buy
- Advance auctions: Future allowances should be made available three years in advance of their vintage:
  - to help establishing a future market
  - assist future investments (3 years is lead time for investments)

#### Frequency

#### The auctions should be held quarterly :

- To minimise transaction costs
- enables both price and quantity risk management

UNSW

Centre for Energy and Environmental Markets

Distribution: Slightly frontloaded (20% in advance vs. 15% in spot)

Auction date		Financial Year of Emission Permit Vintage							
Year	Qtr	10/11	11/12	12/13	13/14	15/16	16/17	17718	18/19
2009	Aug								
	Nov	20%							
2010	Feb								
	May	20%	20%		20%				
	Aug	15%							
	Nov	15%							
2011	Feb	15%							
	May	15%	20%	20%		20%	4 products	available a	t auctior
	Aug	Sj	15%						
	Nov		15%						
2012	Feb		15%						
	May		15%	20%	20%		20%		
	Aug		Sj	15%					
	Nov			15%					
2013	Feb			15%					
after review	May			15%		20%		20%	
	Aug			s <sub>i</sub>	15%				
	Nov				15%				
2014	Feb				15%				
after review	May				15%		20%		20%
	Aug				Si	15%			
						etc			<

Figure 5.3: Timing, frequency and distribution of permits across auctions



## Outlook

Test auction format experimentally

Final Report available:

http://www.emissionstrading.nsw.gov.au/\_\_data/ass ets/pdf\_file/0015/8421/Auction\_Design\_Report.pdf





r.betz@unsw.edu.au

*Many of our publications are available at:* <u>www.ceem.unsw.edu.au</u>

www.ceem.unsw.edu.au