



# Impacts of Generation-Cycling Costs on Future Electricity Generation **Portfolio Investment**

Peerapat Vithayasrichareon (peerapat@unsw.edu.au) and Iain MacGill Centre for Energy and Environmental Markets and School of Electrical Engineering and Telecommunications University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia

Wind generation

Conventional generation

90

Percentage of time (%)

### 1. Background

- Generation investment and planning decisions require a long-term perspective due typically to long lead times, large unit sizes, irreversible.
- Over longer-term planning horizon, generation investment decisions often ignore short-term electricity industry operational aspects.
- Load Duration Curve techniques are often used in generation planning where chronological demand is rearranged in order of magnitude.
- However, in reality, thermal generating plants have inter-temporal operational constraints (i.e. minimum operating level, ramp rates, startup *time*), and costs associated with these e.g. plant startup costs.

### 4. Impacts of Short-term Operational Criteria

- A case study of generation portfolios with coal, CCGT, OCGT and wind generation in Australia, with 5% wind penetration and a carbon price.
- Assess the Operational, Economic and Emissions implications for candidate generation portfolios (those on or near the Efficient Frontier).
  - ▲ Operational number of startups and ramp-rate violations.
  - *Economic* changes in overall costs due to additional operating costs.
  - *Emissions* changes in the annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.
- Recent growth of highly variable renewable generation can pose operational challenges for such plants (cycling operation) and may increase overall industry costs and emissions.
- These operational criteria might have significant implications for future generation portfolios obtained from long-term planning frameworks.



## 2. Objectives

To assess the impacts of operating constraints and associated costs on

#### Generation patterns of a generation portfolio for a typical month for both dispatch criteria



#### **Operational Impacts**

#### Generation merit order

- \* **Coal** baseload capacity
- \* **CCGTs** intermediate load-following
- \* **OCGTs** peaking capacity
- Outputs of baseload units in *Min Start*/ **Stop** vary more frequently than **Max** Low-Cost Gen dispatch (to avoid startup/shutdown of other units).
- Dispatch strategy influences the cycling, costs and emissions.
- No ramp rate violations and number of startups are within design limits.
- \* CCGTs incur frequent startups in Max Low-Cost Gen dispatch (highest of 270) starts/unit/year) but still within design limits.
- Baseload coal units are rarely shut down but still required to vary their outputs. \*
- Number of unit startups also depends on the mix of technologies.

#### **Economic Impacts**



optimal portfolios obtained from long-term planning frameworks.

- **Operational viability, economics and emission implications.**
- Indices of possible violations of plant operating constraints including number of startups and ramp rates.

### **3. Extensions to Generation Portfolio Modelling**

- In previous work, a probabilistic generation portfolio modelling tool was developed to assess future generation portfolios under uncertainty.
  - Optimal generation portfolios in terms of 'expected cost' and 'cost risk' were obtained (where standard deviation of costs represents cost risk).
  - But the modelling did not consider the short-term operational aspect.
- Extensions are implemented in this study to incorporate generating unit constraints and time-varying generation dispatch.
  - Candidate portfolios from the long-term generation portfolio modelling are rerun through a year of sequential 30-minute constrained dispatch.
  - Two generation dispatch strategies are considered.

Generation portfolios from the long-term **Probabilistic Generation Portfolio Modelling** 



### Generation Dispatch

#### **Emission Impacts**

- Short-term operational constraints increases the overall generation costs obtained under the long-term portfolio planning
- \* Due to additional startup costs and running costs of generating units.
- May lead to changes in the merit of optimal generation portfolios on the **Efficient Frontier**
- \* Affecting selection of the most appropriate generation portfolios.
- Reductions in emissions for *Min Start/Stop* dispatch since baseload coal units operate at lower load factors (to allow higher cost CCGTs to remain online).
- For *Max Low-Cost Gen* dispatch, the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are about the same as the unconstrained dispatch from the long-term planning.

#### If carbon price is high and more renewables

 Changes in merit order between coal and CCGTs at a high carbon price. Coal units will incur frequent starts/stops and ramping to meet demand.

Start/Stop	Max Low-Cost Gen
Minimize unit tartup/shutdown	Maximize outputs from low running-cost units
patch low cost units part-load to allow er units to remain ine although they are ore costly to run.	<ul> <li>Dispatch the lowest cost technology as close to its maximum capacity as possible.</li> </ul>
artups/shutdowns only cur when online units not increase or luce their outputs any ther	<ul> <li>Shutdowns only occur if outputs of the lowest cost units would have to be reduced</li> </ul>

Acknowledgements: This work has been supported by the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA) and the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO)

IEEE PES

2014 IEEE Power & Energy Society General Meeting, Washington DC, USA, 27<sup>th</sup> - 31<sup>th</sup> July 2014 Best Conference Papers on Markets, Economics and Planning

entre for Energy and Environmental Markets

- \* Ramp rates and number of startups of coal units can exceed design limits.
- \* Significantly higher industry costs due to high startup cost of coal units.

• High renewable penetrations increase variability and operational challenges.

## **5.** Conclusions

- With modest carbon price and wind penetration, short-term operation constraints have moderate impacts on the appropriate portfolios, and the overall costs obtained from the long-term generation portfolio framework.
- These impacts are certain to be more significant in future electricity industries with high renewables and carbon prices.

• Different generation dispatch criteria can influence the cycling operation.