





Challenges and opportunities for the NEM's retail electricity arrangements

lain MacGill

Associate Professor, School of Electrical Engineering and Telecommunications Joint Director (Engineering), CEEM

Energy Market Conundra Session CEC Clean Energy Week Brisbane, July 2013





Conundrums

A <u>riddle</u> whose answer is or involves a pun or unexpected twist A <u>logical</u> postulation that evades resolution an intricate and difficult problem



Q: What's wrong with the NEM's retail markets?

A: They aren't actually markets





The Australian NEM – many markets, prices..







Markets

"A market is any place where the sellers of a particular good or service can meet with the buyers of that good and service where there is a potential for a transaction to take place"

- Do consumers 'meet' with sellers?
 - Electricity industry has poor end-user engagement
- Does the market sell the good or service desired?
 - Buyers seeking energy 'services', not kWh 'goods'
- Prices where supply meets demand?
 - Or are buyers paying imposed 'prices' ie. charges





Prices versus Schedules of Fees...

- NEM wholesale market has prices
- Predetermined retail electricity tariff (schedule of charges) is not a price in 'economic efficiency' sense of term
 - That requires locational and temporally varying and uncertain spot and future prices for both energy and network services (Outhred and MacGill, 2006)
 - Major reform of interface b/n supply and demand sides of electricity industry and NSPs required before genuine 'price discovery' can occur
 - Little apparent interest or willingness to do this to date by key players
- Electricity industries
 - traditionally 'charge' 'schedule of fees' sufficient to deliver essential current & future access to 'reliable' electricity supply 'service' s.t. underlying customer 'class' costs, wider considerations (eg. equity).
 - In restructured industries, an unresolved question, often only limited moves towards 'economically efficient' pricing wrt earlier arrangements



NEM retail markets and competition

- Little focus on energy services
 - "... an important reason there is effective competition in Victoria is ... because the provision of energy is viewed as a homogenous, low engagement service" (AEMC, 2008
- Current measures of competition might miss key issues
 - Yes, NEM high switching rates but real customer choice or just churn?
 - Yes, NEM price spreads but reflect competition, stickiness, or govt policy?
 - "The thing about the energy retail market is it's effectively an oligopoly... There are a small number of large players—three—who are effectively providing a commodity." Jim Myatt, founder of Australian Power and Gas Medium switching markets - consumer switching rates of 15 percent or higher

NEM retain markets - consumer switching markets - consumer switching markets of 1 to 5 percent





Register now for eWise

Register with eWise for your chance to win free electricity for a year up to \$2,500*

TRUenergy is now operating as EnergyAustralia

Privacy policy Disclaimer Site map Contact us Conditions & pricing NSW EPA reports © 2012 EnergyAustralia. All rights reserved. ABN 99 086 014 968

www.agl.com.au/residential



Centre for Energy and Environmental Markets FIGURE 14: EXISTING & PROPOSED TRIGENERATION SITES IN SYDNEY LGA

Some real competition?

- Distributed generation
 - Photovoltaics
 - Trigeneration
 - Others to come...
- Distributed energy management
- End-use energy efficiency

(Solar Citizens, 2013)

The Solar Revolution in New South Wales

This publication provides a snapshot of the current progress of solar PV installations in your state, its rapid uptake around Australia, and gives an insight into the opportunities and the challenges for the future of clean energy.



^{\$}124,195,1<u>20</u>

on power bills

EXISTING TRIGENERATION
 PROPOSED TRIGENERATION

(City of Sydney, 20132

NEM retail markets





These options are a 'market' response



Figure 10 Motivation for distributed energy systems by households already using SHW (left) and SPV (right)

(CSIRO, 2013)





Challenges and opportunities for Distributed Energy

- How well do electricity industry arrangements establish and allow such distributed energy options to suitably receive
 - Energy and network values
 - Wider social and environmental values
- In restructured industries a question of wholesale & retail market design, network regulation & policy frameworks
 - Challenges of technology and participant neutrality for emerging DE options that have very different technical & economic characteristics, location near and ownership by end-users
 - Retail markets where distributed energy resides are the 'unfinished' business of many electricity industry restructuring processes
 - Intersection of regulated network and competitive supply/demand options invariably complex and imperfect
 - No serious efforts yet in most jurisdictions to fully address environmental, energy security and wider social externalities of energy markets



In response

- Key role of current actors; motivations & interactions in current developments
 - Surprisingly, Productivity Commission doesn't see generators, retailers and NSPS as one of the main institutional actors?









Power supply shake-up

Daniel Mercer, The West Australian, July 15, 2013

Hundreds of thousands of WA households could be hit with higher electricity prices under a proposed shake-up of bills aimed at recovering the massive cost to the system caused by the popularity of rooftop solar panels.

Hundreds of thousands of WA households could be hit with higher electricity prices under a proposed shake-up of bills aimed at recovering the massive cost to the system caused by the popularity of rooftop solar panels.

WA's energy chiefs are understood to be pushing for a change in the structure of bills to make customers pay more in fixed charges.

At present, most of a householder's electricity bill stems from the amount of electricity used. Fixed costs, such as the supply charge, make up about 15 per cent of the bill. However, solar panels have slashed consumption for those households, cutting revenue to State-owned power companies, including retailer Synergy and network operator Western Power.

The trend has been highlighted as one of the big issues facing the electricity system and Energy Minister Mike Nahan has been warned that if nothing is done the consequences could be catastrophic. Either households without solar panels would be left to pick up the tab, forcing their bills to unaffordable levels, or electricity providers would be financially crippled.

WA's take-up rate of photovoltaic cells - initially fuelled by generous State and Federal incentives - stands at more than 10 per cent of households and this figure is expected to double within years.



"To encourage energy efficiency governments must not only establish environmentally responsible construction and manufacturing standards, but can also set a regulatory framework for progressive energy tariffs to make consumers more aware of energy efficiency as a means to reduce overall national energy costs...." (World Energy Council, 2012)

Do we want our electricity market to reduce its price signals to end-users on the value of undertaking energy efficiency actions? *eg. by increasing standing charges relative to variable charges* (QCA, 2013 pricing determination)



"The ESAA estimated the current total of PV 'avoided' costs at \$340 million, or around \$30 per household. To put this into context, this sum is – according to the ESAA's own data – just one eleventh of the cross-subsidy paid by households with no air conditioning. The ESAA <u>estimates</u> <u>these air con network costs at \$330 per</u> <u>household,</u> and it is certainly not "hidden", because it has been one of the key reasons why networks have been "supersizing" their grids over the last few years, at an aggregate cost of nearly\$40 billion." *(RenewEconomy, 2013)*

Do we want our electricity market to continue current cross subsidies for air-conditioning, but instead target the smaller cross-subsidies for clean and green PV?



With power bills rising, it's no wonder so many Queenslanders have turned to the sun. 300,000 households and businesses have invested over \$2.2 billion in solar to manage energy costs.

But, now: Premier Campbell Newman is trying to block Queenstanders access to cheapler power from the sun He's blanning solar for recent electricity price risks. But, over 204 of these increases are clus to over investment in boles and area - substituted the government makes massive profits from

POWER SELLST JOIN SOLARCITIZENS.ORG.AU

Table 1: Tariff 11 – Bill Impacts for the Typical (Median) Customer

Tariff Component	Frozen 2012-13	Transitional 2013-14	Increase
Fixed charge (cents/day) ¹	26.170	50.219	91.9%
Variable charge (cents/kWh) ¹	23.071	26.730	15.9%
Annual Bill ² (\$, GST inclusive)	1,184	1,451	22.6%

1. GST exclusive.

2. Based on a typical (median) customer on Tariff 11 consuming 4,250kWh per annum.

Figure 1 – Overview of the connection process



Getting connected..

 Larger commercial / industrial PV systems and cogen/trigen face significant challenges in obtaining network connection.



NEM retail markets

Centre for Energy and		Table 4 - Typical components of a connection agreement						
							I INICAA/	
	Envi	conmontal Markot	Component		Description			UNSVV
	Envi	onmental Market	Terms and (Conditions				
			Network Ser	vices	Outline of the services to be p by the DNSP Requirements of the generato facilitate the provision of suc services	orovided orto h		
Table 2 - Conne	ection applicat	tion documents	Insurance		Insurance requirements of th	e		
Document Title	•	Description	Liphilition		Limit of liabilities of both pa	Technica	l Schedules	
Connection Apr	plication cover	One page document that	Variation to t	the charges	Outline of the conditions up	tine of the conditioner up Scope of works		Definition of each element of works to
letter		brief description of the pr	on of the pr		there might be a variation in			facilitate connection and identification
		the appropriate contact c	Taxes		Clarification of the ownershi			of the responsible party for each
Connection App	plication form	Some DNSPs will provide			government tax imposed on			element.
		forms to be completed, w others will simply specify	Dispute reso	lution	Process for resolution of dis	Term		Agreed connection date and duration of the agreement
		information to be provide	Contract terr	mination and	Provision for termination or	Technical standards		A description of the technical standards that the generator will
	Generator Performance	This document outlines h	extension		of the contract			
		generator meets the requ	Notices Process		Process for issuing of notice			meet, including each of the aspects
	Standards	safely interact with the D	nat it can NSP's					Deficition of the section 3.5.2
		network. It identifies the	limits			operatinga	asset ent	boundary and equipment management
that the system will work and is typically based upo Schedule 5.2.5 of the NEF supported by detailed mo		that the system will work	within, on Band					(maintenance schedules, etc)
		and is typically based up Schedule 5.2.5 of the NEE				Access, in	, inspection	Arrangements for access onto the
		delling				generator's property (for meter		
Generally not		studies.						maintenance, etc)
required for	Technical	A series of spreadsheets	that illicit		Mete	Metering		The agreed provisions around metering, equipment rule
projects Data Sheets ⁵ technical information and equipment being used in installation and grid control of the sheet o		technical information abo	but the					procedures for testing and inspection
		installation and grid connection.						and metering data
ofthe		Example speadsheets whi	hich are (CEC,		2013)	Network protection		Outlines the network protection
connection often used as a basis for application request formats are avai will vary AFMO's website. It reque		often used as a basis for DNSP data						requirements
		able on ests			Testing an	d	Testing and commissioning procedures	
between		four categories of data (S	D R1		Commis	Food and	oning	Outlines the appliestion fee
DNSPs and R2), as outlined in Ta		ble 3.		Fee	rees and	bayments	connection fees and the process for	
		Only S data is required wi	th the					managing any other project fees levied
		data is available it is bene	out if D eficial to a relate to					by a third party Also outlines any ongoing payments to be made by the DNSP to the embedded
		include it. R1 and R2 data						
		information that is requir	ed to be					generator for services provided
		provided during registrati	on and			Technical	reports	Reports pertaining to technical
		These are not generally re	quired for					studies or detailed design carried out
		generators <5MW.						by the proponent





Other developments

SCER Demand Side Participation Program

(SCER, 2013)



The Standing Council on Energy and Resources (SCER) has adopted this framework to guide its demand side participation (DSP) work. The framework provides an overview of SCER's policy objectives for DSP:

- Improving pricing and incentives: consumers need clear signals about the cost of their energy consumption in order to efficiently manage their demand, and supply chain businesses need appropriate incentives to implement and facilitate demand side participation options.
- Informing choice: consumers and demand side providers need a range of information so that they can identify and implement efficient demand options.
- **Enabling response**: a range of technologies, skills, and supporting frameworks are required to support pricing, information, and demand management options, and to enable timely responses to market signals.

		Policy Areas				
No.	Work streams	Improving pricing and incentives	Informing choice	Enabling Response	Description ¹	
	Energy efficiency a	nd demand side	interaction			
21.	Review of energy efficiency programs' interaction with demand side policy	÷	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	Purpose: Energy efficiency and demand side participation are closely linked as options for consumers to manage their energy consumption and costs. Better coordination between energy efficiency and demand side participation policy and programs could result in more effective program design and resource allocation, and better outcomes for consumers. Status: Officials will consider options for progressing this work stream.	
22.	Data availability on appliance load profiles	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	Purpose: Better information on the load profiles of appliances would help in targeting energy efficiency and demand side participation activities, and identifying opportunities for direct load control. Status: Officials will consider options for progressing this work stream.	
	Distribu	ted Generation				
23.	National Strategy on Energy Efficiency – distributed generation		\checkmark	\checkmark	 Purpose: The Strategy includes measures to address barriers to harnessing electricity markets to better enable the uptake of economic and cost-effective distributed generation. Status: Ongoing, including consideration of developing connection standards for small embedded generation (see below). 	
24.	Feasibility study of embedded generation connection standards			\checkmark	Purpose: Consistent technical standards for embedded generation connections could lower costs for embedded generation project developers and distribution networks. Status: SCER officials are undertaking a study to consider whether it is technically feasible to develop such standards, and the degree of stakeholder support.	
25.	AEMO Small Generator Framework Design		\checkmark	~	Purpose: AEMO has developed a number of principles for small generators (less than 5 MW) covering issues such as registration, metering, settlement, security and reliability, and information provision. Status: A final report was completed in April 2010 to guide AEMO's approach to prioritising future actions to address identified barriers to small generator participation in the NEM. AEMO submitted a Rule change proposal to implement elements of the framework in December 2011. This rule change has now been made, and AEMO is in the process of implementing consequential changes to procedures. The status of other aspects of the framework is available on the AEMO website, www.aemo.com.au.	
26.	Protocols and standards for direct load control			\checkmark	Purpose: In the electric vehicles review, the AEMC noted the potential of managed electric vehicle charging as a form of demand side participation. The AEMC considered that protocols and standards would help to manage the impact on networks and other participants of switching large blocks of controlled loads. Status: In the electric vehicle review, the AEMC noted that these issues may be addressed in the embedded generator rule change. SCER has asked the AEMC whether this will be applicable.	
Retail market evolution and regulation						
27.	AEMC review of retailer switching		\checkmark	\checkmark	Purpose: In the Power of Choice review, the AEMC recommended a review into the time taken to complete customer requests to switch retailers. Improving the requirements for switching retailers could improve competition and residential consumer participation in the electricity market. Status: SCER will ask the AEMC to undertake this review.	
28.	Advice to Ministers on need for additional regulation of third party energy service providers		÷	<i>→</i>	Purpose: A range of services could help consumers make decisions about their energy consumption and demand side participation options, for example energy efficiency advice and direct load control options. There is debate in the industry about if any or all of these services should be included in the same regulatory framework that applies to selling and supplying electricity. Status: Officials will progress work for Ministerial decision on whether any changes are necessary to the framework currently established by the national energy laws and the Australian Consumer Law, taking into account the current stage of development of the market for energy services.	
29.	Clarify definition of 'sale of energy' in the National Electricity Retail Law		\rightarrow	\rightarrow	Purpose: In the electric vehicles review, the AEMC considered that there is room for confusion on whether providing electricity for charging electric vehicles is a 'sale of energy' as defined in the energy retail law. The answer to this question has consequences for how electric vehicle charging services are regulated and the protections available to consumers. Status: SCER's policy on this issue is identified in its response to the electric vehicles review.	
Broader work streams relevant to demand side participation		tion				
30.	Productivity Commission Inquiry into Electricity Network Regulation	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	Purpose: The review examined benchmarking arrangements in network regulation, including consideration of demand side issues. For example, one goal of benchmarking could be to consider the extent to which networks have engaged in optimal demand side management. Status: A draft report was issued in October 2012 and the Commission provided its report to the Australian Government in April 2013.	
31.	Energy Savings Initiative (tbc) ³				 Purpose: The Commonwealth is finalising its detailed investigation into the costs and benefits of a potential national Energy Savings Initiative (ESI). This work is a component of the Clean Energy Future package and is part of the Government's response to the 2010 report of the Prime Minister's Task Group on Energy Efficiency. Status: When the investigation is completed, the Commonwealth will consider whether or not to progress a national ESI. Any Commonwealth decision for action will be taken to the Council of Australian Governments (COAG) for agreement. 	





New business opportunities - end-user interest

In the next 12 months, are you planning to spend money on energy-related products and/or services for your home (e.g., energy-efficient appliances, smart thermostats, etc.)?



Yes Source: Delivering the New Energy Consumer Experience. Accenture, 2013. (Accenture, 2013)





...and interest in, and need for guidance



Products and services currently received from electricity provider

NE

Interest in products and services provided by electricity providers (very/somewhat)

(Accenture, 2013)

Source: Actionable Insights for the New Energy Consumer, Accenture, 2012, www.accenture.com.





Do you trust your utilities/electricity providers to inform you about actions you can take to optimize your electricity consumption?



Currently some 'trust' issues for electricity providers

What organizations do you trust to inform you about actions you can take to optimize your electricity consumption?

54%

41%

40%

39%



(Accenture, 2010)

NEM retail markets





Conclusions- current 'retail' markets and prices

- Aren't providing and pricing what end-users actually need and hence want to buy
- Are clearly not economically efficient because
 - Current market arrangements don't facilitate appropriate levels of demand-side participation and energy efficiency which are both essential to achieve highest possible economic efficiency
 - NSPs faces perverse incentives to increase network expenditure, currently largely fail to implement non-network solutions
 - Still don't properly reflect broader societal objectives social and environmental
- Some current developments
 - may reduce potential role of pricing further eg. increasing fixed charges which aid cost recovery, but don't send appropriate 'signals' to end users
 - may actively discriminate against new options eg. solar tariffs





And possible ways forward

- Many of our key opportunities going forward lie on the demandside in current 'retail' markets – energy efficiency, demand-side participation, distributed generation
- However end-users have to be 'ready, willing and able' to act
 - Need support from Energy Service Companies (ESCOs) focussed on delivery of energy services - the missing 'institutional' player in the NEM This should be key focus of future 'reform'
 - More economically efficient retail + network 'prices' can play useful role but inherently limited in addressing wider concerns, hence more required
 - Coherent and comprehensive policy, market and regulatory framework to facilitate rather than discriminate against societally valuable new options
- Network decision making better served by more Integrated Resource Planning approach rather than current arrangements
 - Greater transparency, stakeholder participation, separation of powers





Thank you... and questions

Many of our publications are available at: <u>www.ceem.unsw.edu.au</u>

www.ceem.unsw.edu.au